



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,376	08/18/2003	Michael A. Pouchak	H0005578 (1161.1125101)	3616
128 7:	590 03/24/2005		EXAM	INER
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			TANNER, HARRY B	
101 COLUMBIA ROAD				
P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
	-			

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/643,376	POUCHAK, MICHAEL A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Harry B. Tanner	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	B December 2004.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	, , ,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-63</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>47-52</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awa nom concluded allon.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-46 and 53-63</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
· ·					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pro-	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,376

Art Unit: 3744

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on 12/28/04 is acknowledged.

Claims 47-52 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 12/28/04.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33, 34 and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWolf et al in view of Farley. DeWolf discloses the invention substantially as claimed. DeWolf discloses a thermostat system in which a central processor can monitor and download parameters to a plurality of zone control systems over a communication bus. Farley teaches a thermostat system having a first means for providing a modulated output and a second means for providing a non-modulated output such that one heating or cooling source is proportionally controlled and the other heating or cooling sources are controlled in an on/off manner (see col. 1, lines 47-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of DeWolf such that the zone air conditioning systems included a local thermostats having a first means for providing a modulated output and a second means for providing a non-modulated output such that one heating

Art Unit: 3744

or cooling source is proportionally controlled and the other heating or cooling sources are controlled in an on/off manner in view of the teachings of Farley.

Page 3

Claims 35-37, 56-58 and 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWolf et al in view of Farley as applied to claim 33 above, and further in view of Worthington. Worthington teaches the use of simultaneous heating and cooling and air mover modulation in order to provide temperature and humidity control. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of DeWolf such that it included the use of simultaneous heating and cooling and air mover modulation in order to provide temperature and humidity control in view of the teachings of Worthington.

Claims 38-40 and 59-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWolf et al in view of Farley and Worthington as applied to claim 35 above, and further in view of official notice. Official notice is taken that the use of PID control is conventional in the air conditioning art. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of DeWolf such that it included the use of same.

Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWolf et al in view of Farley and Worthington as applied to claim 35 above, and further in view of Kline et al. Kline teaches the use of a personal digital assistant in order to provide user interface to a climate control system (see col. 4, lines 3-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of DeWolf such that it included the use of a personal digital

assistant in order to provide user interface to a climate control system in view of the teachings of Kline.

Claims 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWolf et al in view of Farley and Worthington and official notice as applied to claim 40 above, and further in view of Kline et al as applied to claim 63 above.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry B. Tanner whose telephone number is (571) 272-4813. The examiner can normally be reached 8:30 am to 6:00 pm Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday and 2:00 pm to 6:00 pm Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,376

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry B. Tanner Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

Aly B. Januar